# Product Differentiation Strategy and Perceived Financial Performance of Commercial Banks in Uganda: Moderating Effect of Managerial Discretion

Kosea Wambaka<sup>1\*</sup>, Omotayo Adeniyi Adegbuyi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Business Management & Public Administration, Texila American University,

Guyana

<sup>2</sup>Department of Business Management, Covenant University, Nigeria

#### Abstract

The moderating effect of managerial discretion on the relationship between product differentiation strategy and firm financial performance has not received necessary empirical attention. The study sought to examine the moderating effect of managerial discretion on the relationship between product differentiation and the perceived financial performance of commercial banks in Uganda. A crosssection survey design was formulated targeting a population comprised of 210 Senior Managers and Chief Executives of 10 selected commercial banks in Uganda, which were chosen because of their relatively consistent superior financial performance in the last five years. A sample of 137 individuals was calculated using Yamane's (1967) formula, and the technique of stratified proportionate random sampling was used in selecting sample subjects. Data was collected from these individuals using structured questionnaires and analyzed descriptively (using frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations) and inferentially using partial least squares structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM). The coefficient of the interaction term between managerial discretion and product differentiation strategy (MD\*PD) was found positive and significant ( $\beta = 0.3421$ ,  $\rho < 0.05$ ). Accordingly, the null hypothesis was rejected. It is concluded that managerial discretion is an important factor in the adoption of product differentiation strategies for purposes of enhancing the perceived financial performance of commercial banks in Uganda. The study recommends that commercial banks in Uganda should avail Chief Executives with the necessary and adequate latitude to implement product differentiation strategies if they are to maximize financial performance.

**Keywords:** Commercial banks, Financial Performance, Managerial discretion, Product differentiation strategy, Uganda.

#### Introduction

#### **Background and Rationale of the Study**

Over the years, the global banking business environment has increasingly been characterized by intense competition from within and without [1]. De-regulation, privatization, and liberalization have been the biggest drivers of external competition [2], while competition from within is largely attributed to an enormous number of banking service providers, providing more or less the same products and/or service<sup>1</sup>. There are a number of options for managing the

competition phenomenon at the disposal of commercial banks, but prominent among these is the adoption of appropriate competitive strategies.

Competitive strategies refer to actions that firms undertake to endure and/or resist pressures arising out of internal and external competition so as to gain a competitive advantage in the market<sup>2</sup>. Competitive strategies are majorly categorized into two: cost leadership and product differentiation strategies [3]. Firms seeking to adopt either cost leadership or differentiation

strategy but not both simultaneously as this would lead to getting stuck in the middle, and in turn leading to resource wastage and poor performance [3]. Product differentiation strategy is one of the competitive strategies widely adopted by commercial banks in managing the competition phenomenon for the purpose of achieving superior performance goals. The question of whether this strategy influences firm performance has been extensively investigated with varying outcomes. Some studies indicate that the strategy significantly influences firm performance [4-6], while others indicate the strategy has no significant bearing on firm performance [7-9] There is also a stream of research indicating that the linkage between product differentiation strategy and firm performance is moderated by factors such as technological capabilities [10], managerial and marketing capabilities [7], and competitive intensity [11] among others, implying that this debate remains open.

Yet, managerial discretion--the latitude that executives have to make independent choices that have a bearing on firm outcomes [12] is increasingly a popular management strategy. It is often asserted that Chief Executives who have authority over the formulation and implementation of company strategies are able to envision a wide range of alternatives and create multiple courses of action that can have a positive bearing on their organizational outcomes [13]. Further still, it has been observed that Chief Executives with full authority over the formulation and implementation of company strategies are able to make use of the organization's resources to foresee change and choose appropriate actions from a wide spectrum of alternatives [14]. In Uganda, some 10 commercial banks have, over the last five years, consistently posted superior and enviable financial performance figures relative to the others in spite of the fact that all the 25 commercial banks practice product differentiation as a competitive strategy. It is not known, at least empirically, whether the influence of product differentiation strategy on commercial bank financial performance in Uganda is moderated by the factor of managerial discretion. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to find out whether the influence of product differentiation strategy on commercial bank financial performance in Uganda is moderated by the factor of managerial discretion.

# Methods and Procedures for Conducting the Study

The study adopted a quantitative research approach largely because this approach relies on deductive logic and is often used when desires to find out whether the data used supports a predetermined hypothesis. As already noted, some few commercial banks in Uganda have consistently, over five years (2015 - 2019) posited superior financial performance figures relative to other commercial banks in spite of the fact that all commercial banks use product differentiation as a competitive strategy. The study was hinged on testing the hypothesis that this superior financial performance is because the managers have leverage in determining the choice and practice of product differentiation strategy for their banks.

Accordingly, the study was conducted at the 10 commercial banks alluded to above, specifically at their Headquarter Offices. Senior Managers and Chief Executives of the 10 selected commercial banks totaling about 210 individuals were identified as the target population for providing the much-needed data. From these, a sample of 137 individuals was targeted to participate in the survey that was conducted from 31<sup>st</sup> August – 21<sup>st</sup> September 2020. The sample size was determined using Yamanne's (1967) formula as follows:

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + Ne^2}$$

By the above formula, the sample size was calculated as follows.

$$n = \frac{210}{1 + 210(0.05)^2} = 137.7$$

The sample subjects were selected from each bank using the technique of stratified proportionate random sampling. By this method, the population was divided into 10 groups representing commercial banks, and a random sample of Senior Managers, which was proportional to the size of the entire population,

was drawn from each commercial bank. The choice of this technique was based on the fact that the population size varied across the 10 commercial banks. One Chief Executive was selected from each commercial bank under consideration through purposive sampling as is all demonstrated in Table 1.

Table 1. Shows the Population, Sample Size and Sampling Techniques

Commercial Bank	Population	Sample	Sample	Senior	Chief
	Size	Proportion	Size	Managers	Executives
Absa Bank	16	(16/210)*137	10	9	1
Bank of Africa	18	(18/210)*137	12	11	1
Bank of Baroda	18	(18/210)*137	12	11	1
Centenary Bank	31	(31/210)*137	20	19	1
Citi Bank	12	(12/210)*137	8	7	1
DFCU Bank	22	(22/210)*137	14	13	1
Equity Bank	27	(27/210)*137	17	16	1
Housing Finance Bank	20	(20/210)*137	13	12	1
Stanbic Bank	28	(28/210)*137	19	18	1
Standard Chartered Bank	18	(18/210)*137	12	11	1
Total	210		137	127	10

After obtaining written permission to conduct the study at the selected sites, a structured questionnaire was designed for purposes of data to be self-administered. collection questionnaire solicited for data on sociodemographics of respondents as well as data on constructs of product differentiation strategy, financial performance, and managerial The discretion. construct of product differentiation strategy was assessed using 10 items sourced from literature related to the extent to which the banks offer a range of products to their customers, strive to make their products different, have unique service delivery channels, offer products with characteristics, and ensure branding is a major component of their service delivery package among others. As is indicated in Table 2, the construct of financial performance was assessed

using three items related to respondents' perceived satisfaction with the banks' ROA, ROI, and Net Profits over the last five years. The construct of managerial discretion was assessed using two items related to whether the Chief Executive had full authority over the formulation and implementation of the banks' competitive strategy. All responses to the items measuring the above constructs were rated on a Likert scale comprised of 5 points starting from 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree. The questionnaire was distributed across the bank with the assistance of two knowledgeable and trained data collection assistants. The questionnaire was pre-tested prior to being administered. Data was also obtained from Chief Executives of the banks through conducting key informant interviews.

**Table 2.** Shows the Items used in Measuring the Study Constructs

Construct	Notation	Item			
Product	PD1	The bank offers a broad range of products to its customers			
differentiation (PD)	PD2	The bank strives to make its products different			
	PD3	The bank's service delivery channels are unique			
	PD4	The bank offers products with unique characteristics			
	PD5	Branding is a major component of the bank's service delivery package			
	PD6	Employees are regularly trained to offer unique services			
	PD7	Technology is a major part of the bank's product differentiation			
	PD8	The bank continuously develops new products for customers			
	PD9	The bank's marketing channels are unique			
	PD10	The bank's customer relation service package is unique			
Financial	FP1	I am satisfied with my bank's ROI over the last 5 years			
performance (FP)	FP2	I am satisfied with my bank's ROA over the last 5 years			
	FP3	I am satisfied with my bank's net profits over the last 5 years?			
Managerial	MD1	Chief Executive has full authority over the formulation of the bank's			
discretion (MD)		competitive strategies			
	MD2	The Chief Executive has full authority over implementation of the			
		bank's competitive strategies			

Both descriptive and inferential statistical techniques of data analysis were employed in this study. The descriptive statistics used in the study included frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations. In addition, the study employed the inferential technique of structural equation modeling (SEM). SEM multivariate analysis technique that is used in analyzing structural relationships that exist between measured variables and constructs [15]. There are two major ways of conducting SEM, including covariance-based SEM (CB-SEM) and partial least squares SEM (PLS-SEM). However, the latter was chosen for the purpose of this study. PLS-SEM has been found to be more appropriate for models which contain a large number of exogenous latent variables explaining relatively few endogenous latent variables [16, 17], and this was the case in this study. In addition, PLS-SEM was applied in this study because it has been found to be robust in managing non-normalized data [18], which was a high possibility in this study. Finally, the analysis technique was chosen because, even with a relatively small sample size as the case was with this study, it makes it possible to assess interactions with multiple indices between latent variables [19].

### **Results Generated from the Study**

A total of 137 questionnaires were administered to Senior Managers of selected commercial banks in Uganda during the study. However, 135 were successfully filled, representing a 98.5% response rate. From Table 3, the socio-demographic profile of respondents indicates that most respondents were male (5.6%), aged between 40-49 years (65.3%), and had been employed in their positions for a duration ranging from 5-10 years (67.8%).

Table 3. Shows Respondents' Socio-demographic Profile

Construct	Category	Frequency	Percentage	
Gender	Male	79	58.6	
	Female	56	41.4	
Age	Less than 30 years	0	0	
	30 – 39 years	25	18.2	
	40 – 49 years	88	65.3	
	50 years & above	22	16.5	
Employment	Less than 5 years	20	14.8	
duration	5 – 10 years	92	67.8	
	11 – 15 years	21	15.7	
	More than 15 years	2	1.7	

The constructs of product differentiation strategy, financial performance, and managerial discretion were measured using 10, 3, and 2 items, respectively. Respondents were asked to indicate the extent to which they agreed or disagreed with these items, and their responses

were rated on a scale of 5 points, starting from 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree. Table 4 presents a summary of the index values for items measuring financial performance, product differentiation strategy, and managerial discretion.

Table 4. Mean and Standard Deviations for Study Constructs

Construct	No. of items	Mean	Standard deviation
Financial performance	3	3.89	1.065
Differentiation strategy	10	4.05	0.879
Managerial discretion	2	3.95	1.042

The mean index values indicted in the table show that, on average, most respondents were satisfied with the financial performance of their banks over the last five years; most of them agree that their banks are implementing well the strategy of product differentiation and that their Chief Executives have full authority over the formulation and implementation of product differentiation strategies for their banks. The standard deviation index values corresponding to the mean values are all small, implying that the responses on the items were not far from expected.

The measurement model used in this study was evaluated for the purposes of ensuring that the specified model was valid and reliable. In order to assess the validity and reliability of the specified model, the following computations were undertaken--factor loadings, Cronbach's

Alpha, composite reliability (CR), and average variance extracted (AVE) as well as the discriminant analysis associated with the study constructs. The factor loading measured the variance explained by a variable on a particular construct. As a rule of thumb, only items with factor loadings above 0.5 were accepted. The Cronbach's Alpha measure was used in assessing the reliability or internal consistency of a set of items corresponding to a given construct, and as a rule of thumb, this measure was expected to be above 0.7.

The composite reliability (CR) is a measure of how well-assigned items are to measure a construct. Acceptable CR values should be higher than 0.6 [20]. AVE measures the amount of variance that is captured by a construct relative to the amount of variance attributed to a measurement error. AVE is expected to be above

0.5 [21]. All these statistics were generated using the technique of confirmatory factor analysis

(CFA), and Table 5 presents a summary of the results.

Table 5. Shows Item Loadings and Cross Loading

Construct	Item	Loadings	Cronbach's Alpha	CR	AVE
Product differentiation (PD)	PD1	0.739	0.742	0.822	0.535
	PD7	0.783			
	PD8	0.629			
	PD9	0.704			
Financial performance (FP)	FP1	0.70	0.735	0.802	0.546
Managerial discretion (MD)	MD2	0.650	0.702	0.705	0.552

From Table 4, 6 PDs and 2 FPs were deleted because they had factor loadings below 0.5 as theoretically recommended [18]. The remaining items posted CR values ranged from 0.802 – 0.822, and AVE values ranged from 0.535 – 0.546, meaning that convergent validity was

established. In addition to establishing convergent validity, discriminant validity was also ascertained. From the findings in Table 6, discriminant validity was established in this study.

Table 6. Shows Results of Discriminant Validity

		1	2	3
1	Product differentiation	1		
2	Managerial discretion	0.625	1	
3	Financial performance	0.545	0.675	1

After assessing the measurement model, the next step entailed examining the structural model for this study. The purpose of evaluating the structural model was to assess test the hypothesized relationship between the study constructs. The hypothesis tested for the purpose of this study was: managerial discretion does not

significantly affect the relationship between product differentiation strategy and financial performance (return on investment, return on assets, and net profit after tax) of commercial banks in Uganda. The findings are summarized in Table 7.

Table 7. Shows Results of Hypothesis Testing

	Beta	Std. Error	t-value	p-value
H1: PD -> FP1	0.5841	0.021	29.430	< 0.001
H2: MD*PD -> FP1	0.3421	0.093	3.705	0.046

From Table 7, the coefficient of product differentiation strategy (PD) is positive and statistically significant ( $\beta = 0.5841$ ,  $\rho < 0.05$ ), suggesting that proper adoption and implementation of a product differentiation strategy is associated with enhanced commercial bank financial performance, particularly in terms of ROI. In addition, the coefficient of the

interaction term between managerial discretion and product differentiation strategy (MD\*PD) is positive and significant ( $\beta=0.3421,\ \rho<0.05$ ). This implies that a unit increase in managerial discretion increases the effect of product differentiation strategy on commercial bank financial performance in terms of ROI by a proportion of 34.2%. Therefore, the null

hypothesis that managerial discretion does not significantly affect the relationship between product differentiation strategy and financial performance of commercial banks in Uganda is rejected, and the conclusion is that managerial discretion significantly affects the relationship between product differentiation strategy and financial performance of commercial banks in Uganda.

#### **Discussion and Conclusion**

empirical link between product differentiation strategy and firm performance has been extensively explored. Some previous studies have revealed a significant relationship between these two constructs [4-6], while others have indicated an insignificant relationship between the two constructs [7-9]. Yet, other previous studies have furthered the inquiry and found that the link between product differentiation strategy and firm performance depends on factors such as technological capabilities [10], managerial and marketing capabilities [7], and competitive intensity [11]. However, as to whether the influence of product differentiation strategy on firm performance is moderated by the factor of managerial discretion is an issue that has not received necessary empirical attention, and more so in Uganda's commercial banking sector. This study was motivated by the desire to fill this empirical gap.

The results presented in the above section have clearly shown that the influence of product differentiation strategy on the financial performance of commercial banks in Uganda is significantly moderated by the factor of managerial discretion. The findings generated from the selected 10 commercial banks imply that, indeed, product differentiation strategy is an important factor in the financial performance of commercial banks in Uganda. However, much more important is the fact that allowing Chief Executive the leverage and power to choose

which product differentiation strategy and how to implement is what guarantees desirable financial performance for the banks. This is because, with such power and control, they are in a better position to envision a wide range of product differentiation alternatives and create multiple courses of action that bear positively on their financial performance outcomes, as has been alluded to by some authors [13]. The study, therefore, recommends that commercial bank Directors in the wider commercial banking sector of Uganda should confer more power and authority to Chief Executives that enables them to formulate a particular product differentiation strategy and how to apply it as this is the only guarantee achieving desirable financial performance outcomes.

## Acknowledgement

This manuscript output is a result of input from various people who deserve my sincere recognition. First, I would like my mentor and supervisor, Dr. Omotayo A. Adegbuyi, for patiently guiding, encouraging, and advising me throughout this time as his student. I have been extremely privileged to have benefited from his intellectual, instructive, invaluable, corrective advice. Secondly, I would like to thank the management of the various commercial banks that participated in this study for allowing me to use their banks as my study sites. Finally, I would also like to thank all the employees in the banks who participated in this the study for providing much-needed information that formed the basis appropriately answering the research questions.

#### **Conflict of Interest Statement**

The author has no conflict of interest to declare. The co-author has seen and agrees with contents of the manuscript and there is no financial interest to report. We certify that the submission is original work.

#### References

- [1] Chen, S., et al., Bank competition, foreign bank entry, and risk-taking behavior: cross country evidence. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 2019. 12(3): p. 106; Available from: https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm12030106.
- [2] Vives, X., Competition, and stability in banking. 2016: *Princeton University Press*; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400880904.
- [3] Porter, M.E., Competitive strategy: Techniques for analyzing industries and competitors. 1980, *New York: Free Press;* Available from: https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/item.aspx?num= 195.
- [4] Adegbite, I.O., et al., The impact of service differentiation on creating competitive advantage. *African Scholars Journal of Pure and Applied Science* (JPAS-9), 2019. 15(9): p. 142-155; Available from:
- https://www.africanscholarpublications.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/AJPAS\_Vol5\_No9-9.pdf.
- [5] Ghahroudi, M.R. and S. Sagheb, The Impact of Differentiation Strategies on the Women Fashion-Clothing Performance. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 2018. 1(4): p. 381-400. DOI: 10.31014/aior.1992.01.04.35.
- [6] Violinda, Q., I.M.B. Dirgantara, and S. Sufian, Differentiation strategy and organizational culture: A case study of Semarang State University. *International Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 2016. 4(2): p. 8. DOI: 10.11648/j.ijebo.20160402.11.
- [7] Acquaah, M. and A. Agyapong, The relationship between competitive strategy and firm performance in micro and small businesses in Ghana: The moderating role of managerial and marketing capabilities. *Africa Journal of Management*, 2015. 1(2): p. 172-193; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/23322373.2015.1025684.
- [8] Demba, R., V. Ogal, and J. Muli, Effect of differentiation strategy on performance by selected car rental business, a case of Nairobi City County, Kenya. *The Strategic Journal of Business & Change Management*, 2018. 5(4): p. 1880-1895; Available from:

- https://strategicjournals.com/index.php/journal/article/view/898/990.
- [9] Kharub, M., B. Patle, and G. Sharma, The Relationship between Differentiation Strategy and Firm Performance: A Mediating Role of Quality Management. *Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering*, 2017. 4(2): p. 66-71; Available from: https://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jmce/papers/Conf-17026-2017/Volume-3/13.%2066-71.pdf.
- [10] Ortega, M.J.R., Competitive strategies and firm performance: Technological capabilities' moderating roles. *Journal of business research*, 2010. 63(12): p. 1273-1281; Available from:
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2009.09.007.
- [11] Atikiya, R., Effect of Competitive Strategies on the Performance of Manufacturing Firms in Kenya. 2015, JKUAT; Available from:
- http://hdl.handle.net/123456789/1782.
- [12] López-Cotarelo, J., Line managers and HRM: A managerial discretion perspective. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 2018. 28(2): p. 255-271; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12176.
- [13] Carpenter, M.A., and B.R. Golden Perceived managerial discretion: A study of cause and effect. *Strategic Management Journal*, 1997. 18(3): p. 187-206; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-
- 0266(199703)18:3<187::AID-SMJ861>3.0.CO;2-U. [14] Peteraf, M. and R. Reed, Managerial discretion and internal alignment under regulatory constraints and change. *Strategic Management Journal*, 2007. 28(11): p. 1089-1112; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.628.
- [15] Hair Jr, J.F., et al., A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). 2021: *Sage publications*; Available from: file:///C:/Users/USER/Downloads/133.pdf.
- [16] Hair, J.F., et al., When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. European business review, 2019; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203.
- [17] Ju, Y. and S.Y. Sohn, Development of a national competitiveness index based on a structural equation model. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 2014. 26(5): p. 565-579; Available

#### from:

https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2014.896891.

[18] Ramayah, T., et al., Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) using smartPLS 3.0. 2018, Kuala Lumpur: Pearson; Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Hiram-Ting/publication/341357609\_PLS-

SEM\_using\_SmartPLS\_30\_Chapter\_13\_Assessment \_of\_Moderation\_Analysis/links/5ebc2be6a6fdcc90d 674eb9c/PLS-SEM-using-SmartPLS-30-Chapter-13-Assessment-of-Moderation-Analysis.pdf.

[19] Hair, J., et al., PLS-SEM: Indeed, a Silver Bullet PLS-SEM: Indeed, a Silver Bullet, 6679 (December).

The Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice, 2015. 19(2): p. 139 - 151.DOI: 10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202.

[20] Bagozzi, R.P. and Y. Yi, Specification, evaluation, and interpretation of structural equation models. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 2012. 40(1): p. 8-34.DOI: 10.1007/s11747-011-0278-x.

[21] Gorondutse, A.H. and M.S. Gawuna, Cost leadership strategy and performance of hotels in the Nigerian context. *Journal of Applied Structural Equation Modeling*, 2017. 1(1): p. 1-12.DOI: 10.47263/JASEM.1(1)02.